

Ricci Curvature, Diameter and Fundamental Groups

Wen-Haw Chen* and Jyh-Yang Wu*

Abstract

In this note we discuss the fundamental groups and diameters of positively Ricci curved n -manifolds. We use a method combining the results about equivariant Hausdorff convergence developed by Fukaya and Yamaguchi with the Ricci version of splitting theorem by Cheeger and Colding to give new information on the topology of compact manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Moreover, we also obtain a weak Margulis's lemma for manifolds under a lower Ricci curvature bound.

1 Introduction

This paper concerns about the obstruction problems for compact manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. In dimension 2, this problem is easy to understand since only the projective plan $\mathbf{RP}^2$ and the 2-sphere admit metrics with positive curvature. In dimension 3, Hamilton showed in [H] that a compact 3-manifold with positive Ricci curvature also admits a metric with a constant sectional curvature of $+1$, and then is covered by the 3-sphere. In general, a classical result of Myers shows that the fundamental group of a compact positively Ricci curved manifold must be finite. Moreover, since $SU(n)$ has positive Ricci curvature, any finite group will occur as the fundamental group of some manifold with positive Ricci curvature.

The problem 5 listed in Lecture Series 4 in [[P2], p.105] states: *Consider a compact positively Ricci curved manifold, what can be said about the fundamental group depending only on the dimension n , except for the fact that*

*Partially supported by Taiwan NSC grants

⁰E-Mail: whchen@mail.thu.edu.tw; jyw@math.ccu.edu.tw

it is finite? In this paper, we give for this problem a partial answer, which was conjectured by the second-named author in [W]. On the other hand, we show that the diameter of the universal Riemannian covering space of a compact positively Ricci curved manifold M can not be too larger than that of M . The second-named author also conjectured this property for positively curved manifold.

Theorem A. *Given $n \geq 2$, there exist constants p_n and C_n depending only on n such that if a compact Riemannian n -manifold M^n has the Ricci curvature $Ric_{M^n} > 0$, then*

- (a) *the first betti number $b_1(M^n, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ with p -cyclic group coefficient $\mathbf{Z}_p$ satisfies $b_1(M^n, \mathbf{Z}_p) \leq n - 1$ for all prime $p \geq p_n$, and*
- (b) *the ratio of diameters satisfies*

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\tilde{M}^n)}{\text{diam}(M^n)} < C_n,$$

where $\tilde{M}^n$ is the universal covering of M^n .

Remark 1.1. In view of the flat n -torus T^n , one has $b_1(T^n, \mathbf{Z}_p) = n$ for all prime p and the canonical Euclidean n -space $\mathbf{R}^n$ is its universal covering space. Hence our assumption for curvature is optimal. In fact, Fukaya and Yamaguchi give in [Corollary 0.9 in [FY1]] that if a compact Riemannian n -manifold M with sectional curvature K_M and diameter $\text{diam}(M)$ satisfies $K_M \text{diam}(M)^2 > -\epsilon_n$ for some constant ϵ_n depending only on n , then $b_1(M^n, \mathbf{Z}_p) \leq n$ for all $p \geq p(n)$ and the maximal case $b_1(M^n, \mathbf{Z}_p) = n$ occurs only when M^n is diffeomorphic to a torus. Also they obtained in [Corollary 0.11 in [FY1]] that $\text{diam}(\tilde{M})/\text{diam}(M)$ is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on n provided the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is additionally finite. Theorem A extends their results to manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Note that if $n \leq 3$ and $Ric_M > 0$, then it is covered by spheres as discussed above. Hence our Theorem A holds for these manifolds.

Remark 1.2. Here is an application of Theorem A. It is well-known that every finite group G can be the fundamental group of a compact 4-manifold. If we take $G = S_m$ to be the permutation group of m elements and consider the 4-manifolds M_m^4 with fundamental group S_m , then M_m^4 admits no metric with positive Ricci curvature for large m .

From the argument in the proof of Theorem A, we have the following weak Margulis's lemma under a lower Ricci curvature bound. Recall that the *length of polycyclicity* of a solvable group G is the smallest integer m for which G admits a filtration

$$\{e\} = G_m \subset G_{m-1} \subset \dots \subset G_1 \subset G_0 = G$$

such that each G_i/G_{i-1} is cyclic.

Theorem B (A weak Margulis's Lemma). *There exists a positive number δ_n depending only on n and satisfying the following: Let (M^n, p) be a complete pointed Riemannian n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_{M^n} \geq -(n-1)$. Then there exists a point $p' \in B_p(1/2)$ such that the image of the inclusion homomorphism*

$$\Gamma' = \text{Im}[\pi_1(B_{p'}(\delta_n)) \rightarrow \pi_1(B_p(1))]$$

admits a subgroup $\Lambda' \subset \Gamma'$ with

- (1) $[\Gamma' : \Lambda'] < w_n$, where w_n depends only on n ;
- (2) Λ' is solvable with length of polycyclicity $\leq n$.

In particular, if a complete Riemannian n -manifold M has $\text{Ric}_M \text{diam}(M)^2 > -(n-1)\delta_n$, then $\pi_1(M)$ is almost solvable. That is, $\pi_1(M)$ contains a solvable subgroup of finite index.

Remark 1.4. Gromov conjectured in [G] that there is a positive number ϵ_n depending only on n such that if a compact Riemannian n -manifold with almost nonnegative Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}_M \text{diam}(M)^2 > -\epsilon_n$, then $\pi_1(M)$ is *almost nilpotent*. Fukaya and Yamaguchi showed in [FY1] that Gromov's conjecture is true under the condition $K_M \text{diam}(M)^2 > -\epsilon_n$. By taking a solvable subgroup in place of a nilpotent subgroup, they gave a generalized Margulis's lemma in [Theorem A2.1 in [FY1]]. In [FY1], it was suggested that in order to extend the Margulis's lemma to Ricci case, it "only" need to establish splitting theorem and volume convergence theorem under almost nonnegative Ricci curvature bound. However, this is not enough to extend Fukaya and Yamaguchi's result to Ricci case by using the original arguments since their argument depends mainly on the existence of a fibration with the property of *almost Riemannian submersion*, and it is not true in general that one can construct such a fibration under a lower Ricci curvature bound. Therefore, the techniques of Fukaya and Yamaguchi cannot carry over directly to the manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound.

In our approach, we need to work harder on the induction steps and prove a Technical lemma 3.1 in section 3, which is weaker than the original one in [Theorem 7.1 in **[FY1]**]. Indeed, we are not able to obtain the Margulis' lemma under a lower Ricci curvature bound. We can only obtain a weaker version of the Margulis' lemma for only "one point" in the Riemannian manifold under consideration. This is sufficient for us to prove the solvability theorem for almost nonnegatively Ricci curved manifolds. Though we are still unable to obtain the nilpotency result, Theorem B confirms, in some sense, the almost solvability version of Gromov's conjecture.

The remainder of this paper is divided into four sections: In section 2, we mention the main tools including the theory of pointed equivariant convergence and the splitting theorems for our proof of Theorem A and Theorem B. Especially, we establish Corollary 2.6 by combining the above two tools. In section 3, we prove a Technical lemma, which extends the solvability theorem in [Theorem 7.1 in **[FY1]**]. In section 4, we give a proof of Theorem B by using the Technical lemma. Then Theorem A can be proved in section 5 by Theorem B.

2 Equivariant Pointed Hausdorff Convergence and the Splitting Theorem

We first recall the notion about the equivariant pointed Hausdorff convergence in [3]. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all isometry classes of pointed metric spaces (X, p) such that, for each $D > 0$, the ball $B_p(D)$ around p with radius D is relatively compact and such that X is a length space. Denote $\mathcal{M}_{eq}$ the set of triples (X, Γ, p) , where $(X, p) \in \mathcal{M}$ and Γ is a closed subgroup of isometries of X . Put $\Gamma(D) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid d(\gamma p, p) < D\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $(X, \Gamma, p), (Y, G, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{eq}$. An ε -equivariant pointed Hausdorff approximation is a triple (f, ϕ, ψ) of maps $f : B_p(1/\varepsilon) \rightarrow Y$, $\phi : \Gamma(1/\varepsilon) \rightarrow G(1/\varepsilon)$ and $\psi : G(1/\varepsilon) \rightarrow \Gamma(1/\varepsilon)$ such that

- (2.1.1) $f(p) = q$;
- (2.1.2) the ε -neighborhood of $f(B_p(1/\varepsilon))$ contains $B_q(1/\varepsilon)$;
- (2.1.3) if $x, y \in B_p(1/\varepsilon)$, then $|d(f(x), f(y)) - d(x, y)| < \varepsilon$;

(2.1.4) if $\gamma \in \Gamma(1/\varepsilon)$, $x \in B_p(1/\varepsilon)$, $\gamma x \in B_p(1/\varepsilon)$, then

$$d(f(\gamma x), \phi(\gamma)(f(x))) < \varepsilon;$$

(2.1.5) if $\lambda \in G(1/\varepsilon)$, $x \in B_p(1/\varepsilon)$, $\psi(\mu)(x) \in B_p(1/\varepsilon)$, then

$$d(f(\psi(\mu)(x)), \mu f(x)) < \varepsilon.$$

Hereafter the notion $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (X_i, G_i, x_i) = (Y, G, y)$ means

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_{eH}((X_i, G_i, x_i), (Y, G, y)) = 0$$

, where d_{eH} denotes the *the equivariant pointed Hausdorff distance*. For the sake of brief, we also denote d_{eH} to be d_H in the remainder of this paper.

The following theorem comes from [Proposition 3.6 in **[FY1]**].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(X_i, \Gamma_i, p_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{eq}$, $(Y, q) \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (X_i, p_i) = (Y, q)$. Then G and a subsequence k_i can be found such that $(Y, G, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{eq}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (X_{k_i}, \Gamma_{k_i}, p_{k_i}) = (Y, G, q)$.*

The following theorem is shown in [Theorem 4.2 in **[FY2]**] by Fukaya and Yamaguchi. Its proof can be found in [Appendix A.1 in **[FY1]**].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(X_i, \Gamma_i, p_i), (Y, G, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{eq}$ be such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (X_i, \Gamma_i, p_i) = (Y, G, q)$, and G' be a normal subgroup of G . Assume that*

(2.3.1) G/G' is discrete.

(2.3.2) Y/G is compact.

(2.3.3) Γ_i is discrete and free and X_i is simply connected.

(2.3.4) G' is generated by $G'(R_0)$ for some $R_0 > 0$.

Then there exists a sequence of normal subgroups Γ'_i of Γ such that

(2.3.5) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (X_i, \Gamma'_i, p_i) = (Y, G', q)$.

(2.3.6) Γ_i/Γ'_i is isometric to G/G' for sufficiently large i .

(2.3.7) G/G' is finitely presented.

(2.3.8) Γ'_i is generated by $\Gamma'_i(R_0 + \varepsilon_i)$ for some $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$

The next result due to Cheeger and Colding shows in [Theorem 6.64 in **[CC1]**] that the limit space of a sequence of complete pointed-Riemannian n -manifolds with almost nonnegative Ricci curvature will split provided that it contains a line.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M_i^n, p_i) be a sequence of complete pointed-Riemannian n -manifolds. Denote $B_{p_i}(R_i)$ be the open R_i -ball in M_i^n around p_i and $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $(X, p_\infty) \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (B_{p_i}(R_i), p_i) = (X, p_\infty)$. Suppose $\text{Ric}_{B_{p_i}(R_i)} \geq -\epsilon_i^2$, where $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and X contains a line. Then X splits, isometrically, $X = \mathbf{R} \times X'$.*

Combine Theorem 2.2 with Theorem 2.4, one can extend straightforward, as in [Corollary 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 in **[FY1]**], to Corollary 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 respectively. Corollary 2.6 is especially important for the proof of our main result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (M_i, p_i) , $B_{p_i}(R_i)$ and (X, p_∞) be as in Theorem 2.4. Suppose G_i is a closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(B_{p_i}(R_i))$ such that $\text{diam}(B_{p_i}(R_i)/G_i) \leq D$ for some constant D . Then there exists a subsequence k_i that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (B_{p_{k_i}}(R_{k_i}), G_{k_i}, p_{k_i}) = (\mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y, G, p_\infty)$$

, where Y is a compact metric space and G is a closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y)$ with $\ell \leq n$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let (M_i, p_i) be a sequence of complete pointed-Riemannian n -manifolds with $\text{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$. Suppose $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (M_i, p_i) = (X, p_\infty)$, where $(X, p_\infty) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then for every $x \in X$ there exists sequences $y_i \in X$, $q_i \in M_i$ and $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$(2.6.1) \quad y_i \rightarrow x, \quad q_i \rightarrow x \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.6.2) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((X, r_i d_X), y_i) = (\mathbf{R}^k, \text{can}, 0) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((M_i, r_i g_i), q_i),$$

$$(2.6.3) \quad k \leq n,$$

where d_X and g_i are the original metric of X and M_i respectively.

Remark 2.7 As in the proof of [Theorem 5.4 in **[FY1]**], Corollary 2.6 can be proved by blowing up the metrics at most finite times and using Theorem 2.4. Note that for a given convergent sequence $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ one can always find a sequence $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ in Corollary 2.6 such that $r_i \delta_i \rightarrow 0$.

Let Y be a compact metric space and G a closed subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y)$. Since G preserves the splitting $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y$, the projection $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbf{R}^\ell)$ is well defined. The following theorem was shown in [Lemma 6.1 in **[FY1]**].

Theorem 2.8. *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a normal subgroup G_ε of G such that*

(2.8.1) *G/G_ε is discrete;*

(2.8.2) *there exists an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow G_\varepsilon \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 1$,*

where Λ contains a finite-index free abelian subgroup of rank not greater than $\dim(\mathbf{R}^\ell/\phi(G))$;

(2.8.3) *for every $g \in G_\varepsilon$ and every $x \in \mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y$ there exists $g_1, \dots, g_s \in G_\varepsilon$ satisfying*

(i) $g = g_s \dots g_1$,

(ii) $d(g_i g_{i-1} \dots g_1(x), g_{i-1} \dots g_1(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

The group G_ε was constructed in [FY1] as follows: Let $K = \text{Ker}(\phi)$, which acts on Y . Set $\hat{K}_\varepsilon = \{g \in K \mid d(g(x), x) < \varepsilon \forall x \in Y\}$. Let K_ε be the group generated by $\hat{K}_\varepsilon$. Since K_ε is normal in G , the natural projection $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K_\varepsilon$ is defined. Define $G_\varepsilon = \pi^{-1}((G/K_\varepsilon)_0)$, where $(G/K_\varepsilon)_0$ denotes the identity component of G/K_ε .

Remark 2.9. If the limit space Y is an Alexandrov space, then Fukaya and Yamaguchi showed in [FY2] that $\text{Isom}(Y)$ is in fact a Lie group. Thus G is a Lie group and one can take G_0 as G_ε for every ε . On the other hand, Cheeger and Colding announced a result that if $\text{Ric}_{M_i^n} \geq -(n-1)$ and $\text{Vol}(B_{p_i}(1)) \geq v > 0$ for all i and all $p_i \in M_i$, then the isometry group of the limit space is a Lie group. Note that the construction of G_ε in Theorem 2.8 is independent of curvature and volume.

3 A Technical Lemma

The following Technical lemma plays a very important role in our approach to prove Theorem A and Theorem B, and it has its own interest for investigating manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds. It can be viewed as a *weak Ricci* version of [Theorem 7.1 in [FY1]].

Technical Lemma 3.1. *For given positive integers n and k , $n \geq k$ and a positive number μ_0 , there exists positive numbers $\epsilon = \epsilon_{n,k}(\mu_0)$, $w = w_{n,k}$ and a function $\tau(\epsilon) = \tau_{n,k,\mu_0}(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(\epsilon) = 0$ such that if (M^n, p) and (N^k, q) are pointed-Riemannian manifolds of dimension n and k respectively*

such that

$$(3.1.1) \quad Ric_M \geq -(n-1), Ric_N \geq -(n-1) \text{ and } inj(N) > \mu_0 > 0,$$

$$(3.1.2) \quad d_{GH}((M, p), (N, q)) < \epsilon,$$

where d_{GH} denotes the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, then there exists a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ with $f(p) = q$ satisfying the following:

(3.1.3) f is a continuous $\tau(\epsilon)$ -Hausdorff approximation such that $f_* : \pi_1(M, p) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, q)$ is surjective;

(3.1.4) Let $V = B_q(\frac{\mu_0}{2})$ be the ball around q with radius $\frac{\mu_0}{2}$. Set $U = f^{-1}(V)$. Then there is a normal subgroup H of the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(U)$ of U such that

- (i) H is a solvable subgroup of Γ with length of polycyclicity $\leq n - k$,
- (ii) $[\Gamma : H] \leq w_{n,k}$.

Remark 3.2. Recently, Sormani and Wei considered in [SW] the group $\bar{\pi}_1(Y)$ of deck transforms of the universal cover Y of the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of compact manifolds $\{M_i^n\}$ with $Ric_{M_i^n} \geq (n-1)H$ and $diam(M_i^n) \leq D$ for some $H \in \mathbf{R}$ and $D > 0$. They showed that for n_0 sufficient large depending on Y , there is a surjective homeomorphism $\Phi_i : \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_1(Y)$, for $i \geq n_0$. In Technical Lemma 3.1, we consider Gromov-Hausdorff convergence to $LGC(\rho)$ -space to obtain a similar result.

We divided the proof of Technical lemma 3.1 into the following two parts. The first part shows the existence of the map f satisfying (3.1.3).

Proof of (3.1.3). The construction of such a Hausdorff approximation f depends heavily on the assumption that $inj_q(N) \geq \mu_0$. We say a function $\rho : [0, r] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ to be a contractibility function provided: (i) $\rho(0) = 0$, (ii) $\rho(\epsilon) \geq \epsilon$, (iii) $\rho(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, (iv) ρ is non-decreasing. Then a metric space X is said to be an $LGC(\rho)$ -space with a contractibility function ρ if for every $\epsilon \in [0, r]$ and $x \in X$ the ball $B_x(\epsilon)$ is contractible inside $B_x(\rho(\epsilon))$. Since $inj_q(N) > \mu_0 > 0$, (N, q) is an $LGC(\rho)$ -space with contractibility function $\rho(s) = s$ defined in $[0, \mu_0/2]$.

Choose ϵ be such that $8(n+3)^2\epsilon < \mu_0$. Since $d_{GH}((M, p), (N, q)) < \epsilon$, we fix a metric d on the disjoint union space $M \amalg N$ such that $d((M, p), (N, q)) < \epsilon$. For each $x \in M$ one can define a map $h : M \rightarrow N$ such that $h(x)$ is a point in N with $d(h(x), x) < \epsilon$. Thus the triangle inequality gives that h is 4ϵ -continuous (cf. [P1]). Hence by [Main obstruction result 3 in [P1]] there is a continuous map $f : M \rightarrow N$ with $d(h(x), f(x)) \leq (n+2)\epsilon$ for all $x \in M$. Take $\tau(\epsilon) = 4(n+3)\epsilon$. It is not difficult to check that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an

$\tau(\epsilon)$ -Hausdorff approximation with $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(\epsilon) = 0$. Moreover, from [Corollary 4.6 in **[P1]**] and the second-named author's argument in **[W]** we have the induced map $f_* : \pi_1(M, p) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, q)$ is surjective and hence (3.1.3) is established. $\square$

The proof of (3.1.4) is basically along the line of Fukaya and Yamaguchi's proof in [Theorem 7.1 in **[FY1]**]. Here we will point out how the original process can work under our settings. For the sake of brief, we say a group has **property (*)** if there is a subgroup satisfying (i) and (ii) in (3.1.4).

Proof of (3.1.4). This proof is done by induction on $\dim N$ and by contradiction. When $\dim N = n$, by [Theorem A1.12 in **[CC2]**], we can choose ϵ small enough such that there is a diffeomorphism from (M^n, p) to (N^n, q) , which we take as f . Since $\pi_1(V)$ is trivial, Γ is also trivial and the theorem holds in this case. Now we suppose (3.1.4) holds for $k < \dim N < n$ with fixed k but not hold for $\dim N = k$. Then for sequences $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ and $w_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, there exists sequences (M_i^n, p_i) and (N_i^k, q_i) satisfying (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) but no map $(M_i, p_i) \rightarrow (N_i, q_i)$ satisfies (3.1.4) for $\epsilon = \epsilon_i$ and $w = w_i$ simultaneously. Note that when i large enough we always have a continuous $\tau(\epsilon_i)$ -Hausdorff approximation map $f_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i$ with $f_i(p_i) = q_i$.

Let $V_i = B_{q_i}(\frac{\mu_0}{2})$, $U_i = f_i^{-1}(V_i)$ and $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(U_i)$ be defined as above. In order to use the induction hypothesis, we need to blow-up the metrics as the technique shown in **[FY1]**. However, since f_i is only a continuous map in our case, we consider a scaling of metrics as follows. Since $\dim(M_i^n) > \dim(N_i^k)$, there exists $q'_i \in B_{q_i}(\frac{\tau(\epsilon_i)}{10})$ such that $\text{diam}(f_i^{-1}(q'_i)) > 0$. Let $p'_i \in f_i^{-1}(q'_i)$. Then it can be shown that

$$d_H((M_i^n, p'_i), (N_i^k, q'_i)) < 2\tau(\epsilon_i).$$

Indeed, since $d_H((M_i^n, p_i), (N_i^k, q_i)) < \epsilon$, there exist ϵ_i -pointed Hausdorff approximations h_i and $\tilde{h}_i$ such that the map f_i is induced by h_i as in the proof of (3.1.3) and $h_i(p_i) = q_i$, $\tilde{h}_i(q_i) = p_i$, $h_i(B_{p_i}(\frac{1}{\epsilon_i})) \subseteq B_{q_i}(\frac{1}{\epsilon_i} + \epsilon_i)$ and $\tilde{h}_i(B_{q_i}(\frac{1}{\epsilon_i})) \subseteq B_{p_i}(\frac{1}{\epsilon_i} + \epsilon_i)$. Moreover, $d(p_i, p'_i) < \frac{6}{5}\tau(\epsilon_i)$ since f_i is a $\tau(\epsilon_i)$ -Hausdorff approximation. Note that $\epsilon_i < \frac{\tau(\epsilon_i)}{10}$ and then for $x \in B_{p'_i}(\frac{1}{2\tau(\epsilon_i)})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(h_i(x), q'_i) &\leq d(h_i(x), h_i(p_i)) + d(q_i, q'_i) \\ &< d(x, p_i) + 2\epsilon_i + d(q_i, q'_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq d(x, p_i) + d(p_i, p'_i) + d(q_i, q'_i) + 2\epsilon_i \\
&< \frac{1}{2\tau(\epsilon_i)} + 2\tau(\epsilon_i)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $h_i(B_{p'_i}(\frac{1}{2\tau(\epsilon_i)})) \subseteq B_{q'_i}(\frac{1}{2\tau(\epsilon_i)} + 2\tau(\epsilon_i))$. Similarly, we can show that $\tilde{h}_i(B_{q'_i}(\frac{1}{2\tau(\epsilon_i)})) \subseteq B_{p'_i}(\frac{1}{2\tau(\epsilon_i)} + 2\tau(\epsilon_i))$ and then $d_H((M_i^n, p'_i), (N_i^k, q'_i)) < 2\tau(\epsilon_i)$. Therefore, for the sake of brief, we can assume the map $f_i : M_i^n \rightarrow N_i^k$ with $f_i(p_i) = q_i$ satisfying the condition as in (3.1.3) and $\text{diam}(f_i^{-1}(q_i)) > 0$ for i large enough.

For each i denote $\delta_i = \sup\{d(x, y) | x, y \in f_i^{-1}(q_i)\}$. Then $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Blow-up the original metrics g_{M_i} and g_{N_i} of M_i and N_i respectively by

$$g_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i} g_{M_i} \quad \text{and} \quad h_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i} g_{N_i}.$$

Then, by taking a subsequence if necessary, $((V_i, h_i), q_i)$ converges to $(\mathbf{R}^k, \text{can}, 0)$ since $\text{Ric}_{N_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and $\text{inj}_{q_i}(N_i) > \mu_0$. Assume $((U_i, g_i), p_i)$ converges to a pointed metric space (X, x_0) . Moreover, we have that the sequence $\{f_i\}$ is an *almost equicontinuous family* and convergent.

Sublemma 3.3. f_i converges to a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ with

(3.3.1) For every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^k$, $d(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)) = d(x, y)$.

(3.3.2) For every $x' \in f^{-1}(x)$ there exists a point $y' \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that $d(x', y') = d(x, y)$.

(3.3.3) $f(x_0) = 0$.

Proof of Sublemma 3.3. We may assume that $\tau(\epsilon_i)$ decreases monotonically to 0. Fix i_0 and choose $\eta_{i_0} = \frac{3}{2}\tau(\epsilon_{i_0})$. Then given $\eta > \eta_{i_0}$ we have $d(f_i(x_i), f_i(y_i)) < \eta$ for all $x_i, y_i \in U_i$ provided $d(x_i, y_i) < \frac{1}{2}\tau(\epsilon_i)$ and $i \geq i_0$. Take a dense subset $A_i = \{a_1^i, a_2^i, \dots\} \subset U_i$ with $a_j^i \rightarrow a_j \in X$. Then the set $A = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset X$ is also dense in X . By use of the diagonal process, the map $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ defined by $f(a_j) \equiv \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(a_j^i)$ is well-defined. Moreover, by taking $i_0 \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\{f(a_j)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence f is uniformly continuous from X to $\mathbf{R}^k$. Clearly f satisfies equations (3.3.1)-(3.3.3). $\square$

From Theorem 2.4 and (3.3.1)-(3.3.3), we apply [Lemma 7.4 and 7.5 in **[FY1]**] to conclude that X is isometric to a product $\mathbf{R}^k \times Z$, where Z is

compact and not a single point, and the map $f : \mathbf{R}^k \times Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ is in fact the projection.

Let $B^k(r_0)$ denote the metric r_0 -ball in $\mathbf{R}^k$ around the origin. Denote $U_i(r_0) \equiv f_i^{-1}(B_{q_i}(r_0))$, where $B_{q_i}(r_0) \subseteq (N_i, h_i)$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} U_i(r_0) = B^k(r_0) \times Z.$$

Let d_0 be the distance of $B^k(r_0) \times Z$. By Corollary 2.6, one can find sequences $y_j \in B^k(r_0) \times Z$ and $r_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} ((B^k(r_0) \times Z, r_j d_0), y_j) = ((\mathbf{R}^m, can), 0)$$

, where $m > k$ since Z is not one point. Now combining (3.4) and (3.5) together, it is not difficult to conclude that for given $\epsilon > 0$ there are i_0, j_0 and $\hat{p}_i \in U_i(r_0)$ such that for $i \geq i_0$ we have

$$(3.6) \quad d_H((U_i(r_0), r_{j_0} g_i), \hat{p}_i), ((\mathbf{R}^m, can), 0)) < \epsilon.$$

Therefore by induction hypothesis, we have, for i large enough, a map $\Phi_i : (U_i(r_0), r_{j_0} g_i), \hat{p}_i) \rightarrow ((\mathbf{R}^m, can), 0)$ such that Φ_i is a $\tau(\epsilon)$ -Hausdorff approximation and the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Phi_i^{-1}(B^m(\frac{\mu_0}{2})))$ satisfying property (*) for $w = w_{n,m}$.

Let $\Gamma_i(\hat{p}_i, \mu_0) = Im[i_* : \pi_1(\Phi_i^{-1}(B^m(\frac{\mu_0}{2}))) \rightarrow \pi_1(U_i)]$ be the image of the induced map i_* of the inclusion map $i : \Phi_i^{-1}(B^m(\frac{\mu_0}{2})) \rightarrow U_i$. Then $\Gamma_i(\hat{p}_i, \mu_0)$ has naturally the property (*).

Let $(\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i)$ be the universal Riemannian covering space of (U_i, g_i, p_i) with covering map $\Pi_i : (\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i) \rightarrow (U_i, g_i, p_i)$. Then $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(U_i, p_i)$ is the deck transformation group. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that there exists a triple $(W, G, \tilde{p}_\infty) \in \mathcal{M}_{eq}$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{U}_i, \Gamma_i, \tilde{p}_i) = (W, G, \tilde{p}_\infty),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Pi_i \text{ converges to a map } \Pi_\infty : W \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k \times Z.$$

Since Π_∞ also satisfies (3.3.1) and (3.3.2), by Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 we have W is isometric to $\mathbf{R}^k \times W'$ and W' is isometric to $(\mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y)$, where Y is a compact metric space.

Let $\tilde{U}_i(r_0) = \Pi_i^{-1}(U_i(r_0))$. Then

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{U}_i(r_0), \Gamma_i, \tilde{p}_i) = (B^k(r_0) \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y, G, \tilde{p}_\infty)$$

Apply Theorem 2.8, we have for each $\varepsilon > 0$ a normal subgroup G_ε of G such that (2.8.1)-(2.8.3) hold. Therefore, Theorem 2.3 gives a sequence of normal subgroups $\Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}$ of Γ_i with

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{U}_i(r_0), \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}, \tilde{p}_i) = (B^k(r_0) \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y, G_\varepsilon, \tilde{p}_\infty), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon} \cong G / G_\varepsilon$$

for each sufficiently large i .

Now we investigate the relationship between $\Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}$ and $\Gamma_i(x, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Sublemma 3.12. *For every $x \in U_i(r_0)$, $\Gamma_{i,\varepsilon} \subset \Gamma_i(x, 3\varepsilon)$ for i large enough.*

Proof of Sublemma 3.12. Let τ_i be the equivariant pointed Hausdorff distance between $(\tilde{U}_i(r_0), \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}, \tilde{p}_i)$ and $(B^k(r_0) \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y, G_\varepsilon, q)$. To prove this lemma, we shall show that, for i sufficiently large, each element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}$ can be generated by geodesic loops at x of length less than $C\tau_i + \varepsilon$, where $C = C(G, \varepsilon) > 0$.

As in Definition 2.1, let $\varphi_i : (\tilde{U}_i(r_0), \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}, \tilde{p}_i) \rightarrow (B^k(r_0) \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y, G_\varepsilon, q)$ be a τ_i -Hausdorff approximation and let $\lambda_i : \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}(\frac{1}{\tau_i}) \rightarrow G_\varepsilon(\frac{1}{\tau_i})$, $\lambda'_i : G_\varepsilon(\frac{1}{\tau_i}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}(\frac{1}{\tau_i})$ be the corresponding maps. Note that we can take a point $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}_i$ over x such that $d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}_i)$ is uniformly bounded, say $2r_0$. By (2.3.8) we may assume the length of γ is uniformly bounded by a constant. Hence we can assume $\bar{\gamma} = \lambda_i(\gamma)$ for each sufficiently large i . By (2.8.3) there are $\bar{\gamma}_1, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_s \in G_\varepsilon$ such that

$$(3.12.1) \quad \bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}_s \bar{\gamma}_{s-1} \dots \bar{\gamma}_1,$$

$$(3.12.2) \quad d(\bar{\gamma}_j \bar{\gamma}_{j-1} \dots \bar{\gamma}_1(\varphi(\tilde{x})), \bar{\gamma}_{j-1} \dots \bar{\gamma}_1(\varphi(\tilde{x}))) < \varepsilon, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

For each j we put $\gamma_j = \lambda'_i(\bar{\gamma}_j)$. Then γ has the expression $\gamma = \gamma_s \dots \gamma_1(\gamma_s \dots \gamma_1)^{-1} \gamma$. and therefore,

$$(3.12.3) \quad d(\gamma_j \gamma_{j-1} \dots \gamma_1(\tilde{x}), \gamma_{j-1} \dots \gamma_1(\tilde{x})) < 2(j+1)\tau_i + \varepsilon$$

$$(3.12.4) \quad d(\gamma(\tilde{x}), \gamma_s \dots \gamma_1(\tilde{x})) < 2(s+1)\tau_i.$$

Note that s depends on G , ε and μ_0 . Then the sublemma holds. $\square$

Up to now we know that Γ_{i,μ_0} has a subgroup H_{i,μ_0} with the property (*). Then we can apply the argument in [[FY1], p.288 and p.289] to conclude that $\pi_1(U_i)$ has a subgroup H with property (*) for i large enough. It contradicts to our assumption. Hence we have, for $\dim N = k$, there exist $\epsilon_{n,k}(\mu_0)$, $w_{n,k}$ and a map $f_i : M_i^n \rightarrow N_i^k$ such that (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) hold under the assumption of (3.1.1) and (3.1.2). Thus, by induction we finish the proof of the Technical Lemma 3.1. $\square$

4 A weak Margulis's Lemma

In this section we will give a proof of Theorem B. First, by Technical lemma 3.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For given integer n and k with $n \geq k$ there exist $\delta_{n,k}$, $I_{n,k}$ and $w_{n,k}$ depending only on n and k satisfying the following: Let (M_i^n, p_i) be a sequence of complete pointed Riemannian n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_{M_i^n} \geq -(n-1)$. Suppose (M_i^n, p_i) converges to a metric space (X, p_∞) of dimension k in the pointed Hausdorff convergence. Then there exist $p'_i \in B_{p_i}(1/2)$ such that, for $\delta < \delta_{n,k}$ and $i \geq I_{n,k}$, the image of the inclusion homomorphism*

$$\Gamma' = \text{Im}[\pi_1(B_{p'_i}(\delta)) \rightarrow \pi_1(B_{p_i}(1))]$$

admits a subgroup $\Lambda' \subset \Gamma'$ with

$$(4.1.1) \quad [\Gamma' : \Lambda'] < w_{n,k};$$

$$(4.1.2) \quad \Lambda' \text{ is solvable with length of polycyclicity} \leq n - k.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We prove it by contradiction. Assume that there exit $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$, $w_i \rightarrow \infty$ and M_i with $\text{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ satisfying the following: For each $p'_i \in B_{p_i}(1/2)$ and each $I > 0$, there exists an $i \geq I$ such that

$$\Gamma'_i = \text{Im}[\pi_1(B_{p'_i}(\delta_i)) \rightarrow \pi_1(B_{p_i}(1))]$$

never admit a subgroup with properties (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) in Lemma 4.1 for $w = w_i$.

By Corollary 2.6 there are sequences $q'_i \in B_{p_i}(1/2)$ and $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((M_i, r_i g_i), q'_i) = (\mathbf{R}^m, \text{can}, 0)$, where $m \geq k = \dim(X)$. Note that the sequence r_i can be chosen so that $r_i \delta_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By Technical

lemma 3.1, there exist an i_0 large enough and a Hausdorff approximation $f_{i_0} : (M_{i_0}^n, q'_{i_0}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^k, 0)$ with $f_{i_0}(q'_{i_0}) = 0$ so that the fundamental group $\Gamma''_{i_0} = \pi_1(f_{i_0}^{-1}(B^k(10)))$ admits a subgroup $\Lambda''_{i_0} \subset \Gamma''_{i_0}$ satisfying properties (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) of Lemma 4.1 for $w_{n,k}$ independent of i . By $r_i \delta_i \rightarrow 0$, one has

$$\Gamma'_{i_0} \subset \text{Im}[\Gamma''_{i_0} \rightarrow \pi_1(M_{i_0})].$$

Then Γ'_{i_0} admits a subgroup Λ'_{i_0} satisfying properties (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) of Lemma 4.1. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem B. Now we give a proof of Theorem B. For given a divergent sequence $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a metric space (X, p_∞) of dimension $k \leq n$ such that $((M^n, r_i g_M), p)$ converges to (X, p_∞) . By Lemma 4.1 choose $\delta_n = \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} \delta_{n,k}$ and $w_n = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} w_{n,k}$ and then Theorem B holds. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Consider a compact Riemannian n -manifold M^n with $\text{Ric}_{M^n} \geq 0$. Scaling the metric of M so that $\text{diam}(M) \leq \frac{\delta_n}{2}$ and we still have $\text{Ric}_M \geq 0$. Then we can conclude that the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M admits a subgroup H such that

(4.2.1) H is solvable with length of polycyclicity $\leq n$;

(4.2.2) $[H : \pi_1(M)] < w_n$.

In the next section, we will use this result to give a proof of Theorem A.

5 Proof of Theorem A

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem A. We divide the proof into the proof of part (a) and part (b) and follow similar methods in the proofs of [Corollary 7.20 in **[FY1]**, p.289] and [Corollary 0.11 in **[FY1]**, p.290 and p.291] respectively. However, we extend their results to manifolds with positive Ricci curvature.

Proof of (a). Let M^n be a compact Riemannian n -manifold with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$. By Remark 4.2, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M admits a subgroup H satisfying (4.2.1) and (4.2.2) and then $b_1(M, \mathbf{Z}_p) \leq n$ for all prime $p \geq w_n \equiv p_n$. Here we give a proof that the maximal case can never occur.

Our proof is by contradiction. We use the same notations as in the proof of Technical Lemma 3.1 and consider the case when $k = 0$. Then we have

$U_i = M_i$, $\tilde{U}_i = \tilde{M}_i$ and

$$(\mathbf{R}^\ell \times Y)/G = Z.$$

by (3.4), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9). Therefore, $\mathbf{R}^\ell/\phi(G)$ is a compact, where the projection $\phi : G \rightarrow Isom(\mathbf{R}^\ell)$ is defined as in Theorem 2.8. By [Corollary 4.2 in **[FY1]**, p.273], the generalized Bieberbach's theorem, there is a finite-index normal subgroup G' of $\phi(G)$ such that $\mathbf{R}^\ell/G'$ is a flat s -torus T^s . Moreover, by Theorem 2.3, we put a finite-index normal subgroup $\hat{\Gamma}_i$ of $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(M)$ converging to $\phi^{-1}(G')$. Thus the compact manifold $\hat{M}_i \equiv \tilde{M}_i/\hat{\Gamma}_i$ converges to T^s . Note that $Ric_{\hat{M}_i} > 0$ and $\hat{M}_i$ is also a covering space of M . Then $\pi_1(\hat{M}_i)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(M_i)$. Use (3.1.3) we have a surjection between $\pi_1(\hat{M}_i)$ and $\pi_1(T^s)$ for i large enough. Thus $\pi_1(\hat{M}_i)$ has infinite order and it contradicts to $\pi_1(M)$ is finite. Hence $b_1(M, \mathbf{Z}_p) \leq n-1$ for all prime $p \geq w_n \equiv p_n$. $\square$

Proof of (b). We also prove it by contradiction. Suppose there exists a sequence of Riemannian manifolds M_i with $Ric_{M_i} > 0$, and universal Riemannian covering $\tilde{M}_i$ with,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{diam(\tilde{M}_i)}{diam(M_i)} = \infty.$$

By scaling the metric, we may assume $diam(\tilde{M}_i) = 1$ for all i . Then there is a sequence ϵ_i with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0$ such that $diam(M_i) < \epsilon_i$. Note that for each i $\pi_1(M_i)$ admits a subgroup H_i satisfying (4.2.1) and (4.2.2).

Define $H_i^0 = H_i$, $H_i^j = [H_i^{j-1}, H_i^{j-1}]$, the commutator of H_i^{j-1} , and then $H_i^n = 0$. Choose $\tilde{p}_i \in \Pi_i^{-1}(p_i)$. Since $diam(\tilde{M}_i/H_i^j) \leq 1$ for all i and j , Corollary 2.5 implies there exists a triple (X, G_j, x_0) such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{M}_i, H_i^j, \tilde{p}_i) = (X, G_j, x_0)$$

for each $0 \leq j \leq n$. Note that G_0 acts on X transitively. Take a number j_0 such that X/G_{j_0-1} is a point and X/G_{j_0} is not a point.

Now we put

$$\bar{M}_i = \tilde{M}_i/H_i^{j_0}, \quad \Lambda_i = H_i^{j_0}/H_i^{j_0-1}.$$

Let $\bar{p}_i \in \bar{M}_i$ be the point corresponding to $\tilde{p}_i$. By Corollary 2.6 and repeating the blow-up arguments (at most finite times), we may assume $(\bar{M}_i, \bar{p}_i)$ converges to $(\mathbf{R}^\ell, 0)$ for some $\ell > 0$. Let Λ be the group such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\bar{M}_i, \Lambda_i, \bar{p}_i) = (\mathbf{R}^\ell, \Lambda, 0)$. Then the abelian group Λ acts on $\mathbf{R}^\ell$ transitively and, in fact, Λ is just the vector group $\mathbf{R}^\ell$.

Now we also use the *pseudogroup technique* as in [Y]. Let

$$\Lambda'_i = \{\gamma \in \Lambda_i \mid d(\gamma(\bar{p}_i), \bar{p}_i) < 10\ell\}.$$

Consider $B^\ell(10\ell) \subset \mathbf{R}^\ell$ and Λ'_i as the pseudogroups of isometric embeddings of $B^\ell(10\ell)$ to $B^\ell(20\ell)$ and $B_{\bar{p}_i}(10\ell)$ to $B_{\bar{p}_i}(20\ell)$ in $\bar{M}_i$ respectively. Consider the lattice

$$E_\infty = B^\ell(10\ell) \cap \mathbf{Z}^\ell$$

and take $\gamma_{1_i}, \dots, \gamma_{\ell_i} \in \Lambda'_i$ such that γ_{j_i} converges to $e_j \in E_\infty$, where $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$ are the canonical basis of $\mathbf{Z}^\ell$. We denote by E_i the pseudogroup of Λ'_i generated by $\gamma_{1_i}, \dots, \gamma_{\ell_i}$. Since E_i is abelian, $(B_{\bar{p}_i}(10\ell), E_i)$ converges to $(B^\ell(10\ell), E_\infty)$. It follows that $\hat{M}_i \equiv B_{\bar{p}_i}(10\ell)/E_i$ converges to the flat torus $T^\ell = B^\ell(10\ell)/E_\infty$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance. Note that, as in the proof of part (a), $\text{Ric}_{\hat{M}_i} > 0$ and $\hat{M}_i$ is also a covering of M_i . Again (3.1.3), there is a surjection from $\pi_1(\hat{M}_i)$ to $\pi_1(T^\ell)$ for i large enough and then the group $\pi_1(\hat{M}_i)$ has infinite order. Thus $\pi_1(M_i)$ also has infinite order and this is a contradiction. Therefore we complete the proof. $\square$

References

- [CC1] Cheeger J., Colding, T. H.: Lower curvature bounds on Ricci curvature and almost rigidity of warped products. *Ann. of Math.* **144** (1996), 189-237.
- [CC2] Cheeger J., Colding, T. H.: On the structures of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below; *I. J. Diff. Geom.* **46** (1997), 406-480.
- [FY1] Fukaya, K., Yamaguchi, T.: The fundamental groups of almost non-negatively curved manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* **136** (1992), 253-333.
- [FY2] Fukaya, K., Yamaguchi, T.: Isometry groups of singular spaces, *Math. Z.* **216** (1994), 31-44.
- [G] Gromov, M.: Synthetic geometry in Riemannian manifolds. In *Proc. of International Congress of Mathematicians, Helsinki, (1978)*, 415-419.
- [H] Hamilton, R.: Three manifold with positive Ricci curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.* **17** (1982), 255-306.

- [P1] Petersen, P.: Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of metric spaces. In S.-T. Yau and R. Green (eds.), Differential Geometry, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. **54**, Part 3, AMS, Providence, RI, (1993), 489-504.
- [P2] Petersen, P.: Comparison Geometry Problem List. In M. Lovric, M. Min-Oo and M. Y.-K. Wang(eds.), Riemannian Geometry, Fields Institute Monographs, Vol. 4, Lecture Series 4, AMS, (1996), 87-109.
- [SW] Sormani C., Wei, G.: Hausdorff convergence and universal covers. Trans. AMS, **353** (2001), 3585-3602.
- [W] Wu, J. -Y.: An obstruction to fundamental groups of positively Ricci curved manifolds. Ann. of Global Analysis and Geometry Vol.**16**, (1998), 371-382.
- [Y] Yamaguchi, T.: Collapsing and pinching under a lower curvature bound, Ann. of Math. **133** (1991), 317-357.